#### BUREAU OF FAMILY PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION

FSSA's Bureau of Family Protection and Preservation (BFPP) and The Division of Family and Children local offices serve all children in the state who are at risk of abuse or neglect. The BFPP administers programs that provide the following services: Child Welfare, Child Abuse Prevention, Family Services, Foster Care, Adoptions, Independent Living, Residential & Child Care Licensing, and Youth Services.

**Child Welfare** is administered through case management. Family Case Manager 2s provide Child Protection Services, Services to a Child in Need of Services (CHINS), Reunification Services, and Special Needs Adoption Program services. Family Case Manager 3s provide services in cases of a Service Referral Agreement, an Informal Adjustment, Independent Living, child care home licensing, custody and adoption home studies and services, and other services utilizing federal funding for children.

**Child Protection Services (CPS)** protects Indiana's children from further abuse or neglect and acts or serves to prevent, remedy, or assist in solving problems that may result in neglect, abuse, exploitation, or delinquency of children. CPS must be able to receive and initiate investigations of abuse reports on a 24-hour basis. Although reports can be made in person or by correspondence, the vast majority of child abuse and neglect reports are made by telephone. CPS operates a toll free hotline (1-800-800-5556) for receipt of statewide reports.

Investigations of abuse or neglect may be substantiated or unsubstantiated. The child's safety is the primary factor in CPS investigations. Families receive further services based on the severity of the abuse or neglect, an assessment of the child's and the family's need, and an assessment of the relative safety and risk to the child in the home.

A child fatality review process has been in place since SFY 1999 to improve information gathering and prevention strategies in the area of child fatalities due to abuse and neglect. A state team reviews detailed information regarding each death involving substantiated abuse and neglect and shares aggregated information with partners, including Prevent Child Abuse (PCA) Indiana, to develop prevention strategies. The Division also contracted with PCA Indiana to coordinate a Child Fatality Review Task Force involving a wide variety of concerned professionals, from coroners to law enforcement, to make recommendations to the Division regarding local fatality review processes.

The Institutional Child Protection Services Program serves children who allegedly have been abused or neglected in any institutional or out-of-home care. Reports are received via a statewide hotline, and investigations of abuse are initiated within 24 hours. This program determines whether the child should remain at the facility or home, whether adequate protection can be provided, whether referrals are needed for follow-up monitoring, and whether referral for prosecution of perpetrators is warranted (substantiated cases).

The Family Preservation Program carries out the Division's goals to prevent unnecessary separation of children from their families by identifying family problems and assisting families in resolving them whenever possible. The Division also seeks to return children who have been removed from their own homes to their families through the provision of services to the child and family, when a court finds that reunification is in a child's best interest.

The Family Preservation program provides services to prevent out-of-home placement, or to reunify children and their families in cases of substantiated reports of child abuse or neglect. Services offered to families include parent education, counseling, visitation, sexual abuse treatment, parent aides, homemaker services and home-based family services.

The program carries out these goals by providing four (4) basic services: Service Referral Agreements, Informal Adjustments, Services to a Child in Need of Services (CHINS) and Reunification Services.

**Service Referral Agreements** are voluntary agreements made by the family case manager, parent(s), and other involved parties when a family admits to a problem, the child is not at serious risk in the home, and no court involvement is sought.

**Informal Adjustments** are agreements made by the family case manager, the child's parent(s), guardian, custodian, attorney and other involved parties when a family admits to a problem and the child is at minimal risk in the home. The agreement is filed with the juvenile court, must be approved by the court, and may include many of the above-noted services.

**Services to CHINS** who are made wards of the court include case planning and periodic case review as well as many of the other services mentioned.

**Reunification Services** are provided to families when a child who has been removed from the family has a goal to return to the family. Any or all of the above-noted services may be offered.

Approximately 12,500 children and their families are being actively served at any given time. The state of Indiana has developed a five-(5) year plan for family preservation and support services with the help of local Step Ahead councils and local service needs assessments. The five-(5) year plan is funded by federal Title IVB Part II monies.

The Foster Care Program provides 24-hour care to children who can no longer safely remain in their homes due to the occurrence or risk of abuse or neglect, or due to their own need for care and treatment for behaviors which constitute a danger to themselves or others. State policy is to place children in the least restrictive, most family-like setting which meets the child's needs. Placements are to be in close proximity to the child's family, particularly when reunification with the family is the case plan goal. Placement may be made in an approved relative home, a licensed foster home, a group home or child-caring institution, or other court-approved facility. Foster parents and other care

providers are involved in case planning and the provision of services to the child and the child's family utilizing a team approach with the child's caseworker. DFC staff ensure that foster parents receive proper notification concerning case activities of children in their care.

The Title IV-E Foster Care Program provides federal funds for foster care or residential care per diem payments and for medical services for children whose families were receiving TANF on their behalf, or who would have been eligible had they applied, at the time the court ordered them removed from their homes.

**The Adoption Program** serves children who are legally available for adoptive placement and who are in need of placement with a permanent family. The State Adoption Program includes recruitment activities and services to the prospective adoptive family, as well as financial support and services to the family receiving the child. 1,118 adoptions were finalized in SFY 2000.

The Special Needs Adoption Program (SNAP) was implemented in 1990 and employs ten regional adoption casework specialists to recruit adoptive families, as well as work with children and families in order to facilitate the adoptive placement of children who have special needs. The adoption program also works in partnership with the Indiana One Church, One Child Program, Inc.; the Children's Bureau of Indianapolis, Inc.; the Villages of Indiana, Inc.; Children are the Future, and Indiana Youth Advocate Program to recruit adoptive families for children featured in the "My Forever Family" picture book and provide adoption services to these families. Also, the Children's Bureau of Indianapolis coordinates the publication of the "My Forever Family" picture book, Internet site, adoption hotline, and media contacts to promote the adoption program. The "My Forever Family" recruitment campaign reported 4,076 inquiries about adoption and the children featured in the "My Forever Family" picture book. As a result of the work done by the SNAP and its partners, a record 1133 children were placed during the calendar year 1999.

Financial assistance is also offered to families who adopt eligible special needs children. This assistance includes the Non-Recurring Adoption Expenses Program, the Federal Adoption Assistance Program, and the State Adoption Subsidy Program. The Non-Recurring Adoption Expenses Program provides funds to reimburse the adoptive parent for one-time expenses incurred in legally adopting a special needs child. The Federal Adoption Assistance Program provides financial assistance to families who adopt IV-E eligible children, and provides financial assistance to families who adopt children with special needs and demonstrate to the court that per diem and/or medical assistance is needed for the adopted child.

The Indiana Adoption History Program was established as a result of enabling legislation passed in 1993. The purpose of this program is to assist persons seeking information regarding adoptive situations to which they were a party. The Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH) is responsible for administering the program, but the county DFC offices play a major role in assisting persons to obtain information not available through ISDH. Parties to an adoption may obtain non-identifying information from the county offices without a court order if it is available. However, no identifying information may be released by a county office without obtaining permission from ISDH to do so, since certain signatures must be on file there before identifying information can be released.

The Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children is designed to protect the interests and safety of all children who are being placed in out-of-home care or for adoption across state lines and national boundaries. This compact provides a mechanism for the approval of the placement of children into relative, foster, or adoptive homes or into residential facilities and for on-going monitoring of the child's progress in these out-of-state placements.

The Title IV-E Independent Living Program provides the state with funding to purchase direct services for all youth (ages 14-21) living in foster or residential care who are in need of independent living skills. Services are planned and purchased by the Child Welfare Executive Committee in each region and through a competitive negotiation process with licensed child-caring institutions for this program. Services that are purchased through this program include counseling and support groups, vocational training, daily living skills and job search skills.

The Residential Licensing Sections of the Bureau have licensing authority for a number of facilities and homes. The primary purpose of licensing of foster family homes is to minimize the risk to children in out-of-home care by monitoring and enforcing compliance to minimum health, safety, and program standards as established in 470 IAC 3-1. Local Offices of Family and Children and licensed child-placing agencies have the responsibility and the authority to assess compliance with these standards and to submit licensing recommendations to the Bureau of Family Protection and Preservation.

Also, the section collaborates with the State Fire Marshal's Office, the State Department of Health, and the office of the State Building Commissioner. These cooperative efforts ensure that minimal standards of fire and building safety and public health protection are maintained for children in child (day) care centers and homes.

The Section also issues licenses to residential child caring institutions and group homes. Child caring institutions are agencies which provide 24-hour residential care for dependent, neglected, abused, delinquent, or troubled children who are unattended by a parent, guardian, or custodian. The minimum standards for child caring institutions servicing 11 or more children are found in 470 IAC 3-11 and 470 IAC 3-12. The minimum standards for group homes (agencies serving ten or fewer children) are found in 470 IAC 3-14 and 470 IAC 3-15. The Bureau also has authority to license private secure facilities. The minimum standards for private secure facilities are found in IAC 470 3-13.

The Division of Family and Children, in conjunction with State Fire Marshal inspectors, monitors and reviews compliances to these standards at least annually in an effort to maximize the health, safety, and well-being of children placed in these residential treatment programs.

Division staff additionally issue licenses to child-placing agencies. Child-placing agencies are authorized to recruit foster parents and to make foster family home licensing recommendations to the Division of Family and Children, to complete adoptive home studies, and to place children in either foster homes or adoptive homes. Some child-placing agencies provide only foster care services while others provide both foster care and adoption services including therapeutic foster care and international adoptions.

**Contracts and Grants** are administered through the Bureau, and responsibilities for the ten funding sources are contracted out to private agencies throughout the state for the provision of direct services. The federal funding sources are:

- Social Services Block Grant
- Community-Based Family Resource and Support Grant
- Preventive Health Block Grant (Sex Offense Services)
- Family Violence Prevention and Treatment Grant

The state funding sources are:

- Domestic Violence Prevention and Treatment Fund
- Project Safe Place
- Youth Service Bureau Fund
- Children's Trust Fund (license plate sales and other donations)

These funds are allocated on a competitive basis to agencies providing services in these categories:

- Domestic Violence Prevention and Treatment Fund
- Family Planning, Youth Services, Child Abuse Prevention, Families and Children Services and Sexual Offense Services

The purpose of these services is to prevent or remedy abuse or exploitation of children and adults unable to protect their own interests; to promote positive youth development; or to preserve, rehabilitate, or reunify families. The most updated information about all grant opportunities can be found on the agency's website at: www.state.in.us/fssa/families/grants/family.html

Healthy Families Indiana (HFI) Project: The Family and Social Services Administration has expanded the Healthy Families Indiana (HFI) Project to include all 92 counties. The project helps to identify families at risk and offers them the opportunity to participate in a home visitor program. The program approach is designed to improve family coping skills and functioning, promote positive parenting skills and parent-child interaction, promote optimal child development, and, as a result, prevent child abuse. This public/private initiative is a collaborative project designed by the Family and Social Services Administration, the Indiana State Department of Health and the Indiana Chapter for the Prevention of Child Abuse. The Healthy Families Indiana Fund blends federal funds from the following sources: Federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant, Sexual Offense Prevention, Criminal Justice Institute Juvenile Delinquent Prevention funds, Maternal and Child Health Block Grant, Community Based Family Resource and Support Grant, Title IV-B-Part 2 - Family Support and Preservation, Social Services Block Grant (SSBG), Family and Social Services Administration/Criminal Justice Institute partnership, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds. Fiscal year 1999 funding totaled \$12.7 million.

Indiana has the first Healthy Families program to support a state system with blended federal funds through the establishment of a Healthy Families Fund. Indiana is also the first state to establish formal linkages with the Justice Department. An evaluation of the Healthy Families Indiana program showed that 96.1% of HFI children had medical services; 99.1% had no reported child abuse or neglect; and 72.7% of parents were either employed, in school, or in job training programs. The evaluation covered 1,106 families. Only ten families had substantiated reports of child abuse or neglect.


#### **COMPETENCY-BASED TRAINING (CBT)**

Competency-Based Training is a systematic approach to providing the knowledge and skills necessary to perform assigned duties. It is a comprehensive in-service program designed to provide high quality, culturally responsive, family centered and job related training to all child welfare staff in Indiana.

CBT provides specialized related courses as determined by workers completing Individual Training Needs Assessment (ITNA). Analysis of ITNAs indicated sexual abuse training as a high priority. Sexual abuse investigation, treatment, and supervision workshops for Family Case Managers and Supervisors was offered through the CBT program to meet the high priority need.

## Indiana Family and Social Services Administration Child Welfare Expenditures State Fiscal Years 1991 - 2000

#### **Millions Of Dollars**



**State Fiscal Years** 

Child Welfare Analysis for State Fiscal Year 2000 Number of Juvenile Wards per Thousand of Population by Region and County

	1999	Wards	Wards		1999	Wards	Wards
Region /	Population	June	Per	Region /	Population	June	Per
County	Estimate *	<u>2000</u>	Thousand	County	Estimate *	<u>2000</u>	Thousand
North West				North East			
Benton	9,776	10	1.0	Adams	33,168	89	2.7
Carroll	20,004	13	0.6	Allen	316,471	1,302	4.1
Cass	38,964	56	1.4	Blackford	13,927	24	1.7
Fulton	20,893	40	1.9	DeKalb	39,683	74	1.9
Jasper	29,462	30	1.0	Elkhart	174,680	365	2.1
Lake	480,619	3,060	6.4	Grant	72,082	145	2.0
LaPorte	109,939	244	2.2	Huntington	37,377	30	0.8
Marshall	46,129	68	1.5	Kosciusko	71,336	66	0.9
Newton	14,844	23	1.5	LaGrange	33,997	46	1.4
Porter	147,758	240	1.6	Miami	33,605	79	2.4
Pulaski	13,527	26	1.9	Noble	43,241	56	1.3
Starke	23,597	37	1.6	Steuben	31,742	38	1.2
St. Joseph	258,537	616	2.4	Wabash	34,538	58	1.7
White	25,522	20	0.8	Wells	26,810	49	1.8
Region Total	1,239,571	4,483	3.6	Whitley	30,811	48	1.6
				Region Total	993,468	2,469	2.5
West Central	<u>[</u>						
Boone	44,835	65	1.4	<b>East Central</b>			
Clinton	32,964	60	1.8	Dearborn	48,011	75	1.6
Fountain	18,374	30	1.6	Decatur	25,704	34	1.3
Hamilton	172,094	38	0.2	Delaware	115,472	259	2.2
Hendricks	98,826	30	0.3	Fayette	25,860	87	3.4
Howard	83,736	103	1.2	Franklin	22,120	25	1.1
Johnson	112,724	57	0.5	Hancock	55,617	33	0.6
Marion	810,946	2,345	2.9	Henry	48,377	125	2.6
Montgomery	36,583	50	1.4	Jay	21,686	34	1.6
Morgan	67,003	45	0.7	Madison	130,990	299	2.3
Parke	16,908	19	1.1	Ohio	5,457	6	1.1
Putnam	34,788	73	2.1	Randolph	27,417	64	2.3
Tippecanoe	142,475	229	1.6	Ripley	27,660	56	2.0
Tipton	16,641	14	8.0	Rush	18,208	27	1.5
Vermillion	16,954	6	0.4	Shelby	43,630	93	2.1
Warren	8,349	5	0.6	Union	7,297	18	2.5
Region Total	1,714,200	3,169	1.8	Wayne	71,134	73	1.0
				Region Total	694,640	1,308	1.9
South West	00.000		4.4	O th Et			
Clay	26,903	37	1.4	South East	CO 74.4	200	2.0
Daviess	29,084	42	1.4	Bartholomev	69,714	208	3.0
Dubois	40,093	49	1.2	Brown	15,992	18	1.1
Gibson Greene	32,230	38	1.2	Clark	95,121 10,739	246	2.6
Knox	33,158 39,051	96 82	2.9 2.1	Crawford Floyd	•	56 108	5.2 1.5
Martin	10,379	14	1.3	Harrison	72,243 35,376	56	1.6
Monroe	116,923	212	1.8	Jackson	41,319	38	0.9
Owen	20,619	26	1.3	Jefferson	31,813	58	1.8
Pike	13,021	92	7.1	Jennings	28,106	60	2.1
Posey	26,292	11	0.4	Lawrence	45,752	58	1.3
Spencer	21,178	19	0.9	Orange	19,835	8	0.4
Sullivan	21,535	43	2.0	Perry	19,091	34	1.8
Vanderburgh		506	3.0	Scott	23,433	55	2.3
Vigo	104,349	194	1.9	Switzerland	8,961	10	1.1
Warrick	52,557	59	1.1	Washington	28,233	22	0.8
Region Total		1,520	2.0	Region Total		1,035	1.9
•	.,	,- ,	-	<b>O</b> 1.55	, ,	,	-

State Total 5,942,901 #####

\* Source: U.S. Census Bureau

	Case Activity For Children in Substitute Care* For the Period July 1999 through June 2000											
Children in Care At End of	Children	Children	Children in									
Prior Period	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3											
11,402 5,220 5,326 11,305												

	Number of Children Leaving Care by Reason and Race																				
							Ame	rican Ind	dian/		Asian/										
Reason for		White			Black		Ala	skan Na	tive	Pac	ific Islar	nder	M	ultiraci	ial	U	navailab	le		Total	
Leaving Care	All	% #	# Hisp.	All	% #	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.
Reunification (Own Home)	1,203	34.4%	43	503	29.6%	5	26	41.9%	24	7	53.8%	1	0	0.0%	0	6	54.5%	2	1,745	32.8%	75
Adoption	357	10.2	10	236	13.9	3	4	6.5	4	1	7.7	0	8	17.0	1	0	0.0	0	606	11.4	18
Relative Placement	126	3.6	7	83	4.9	1	2	3.2	2	0	0.0	0	5	10.6	0	0	0.0	0	216	4.1	10
Guardianship	147	4.2	5	105	6.2	0	1	1.6	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	253	4.8	5
Independent Living	37	1.1	2	16	0.9	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	53	1.0	2
Other	1,624	46.5	46	756	44.5	11	29	46.8	23	5	38.5	0	34	72.3	4	5	45.5	5	2,453	46.1	89
Total Children	3,494	100.0%	113	1,699	100.0%	20	62	100.0%	53	13	100.0%	1	47	100.0%	5	11	100.0%	7	5,326	100.0%	199

	Number of Children in Care at End of Period by Type of Placement and Race																				
Type of Substitute		White			Black			rican Ind skan Na		Pac	Asian/ ific Islan	der	M	ultiraci	al	U	Inavailab	ole		Total	
Care Placement	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	% 7	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.	All	%	# Hisp.
Relative Home	597	9.2%	7	729	18.2%	3	13	12.4%	10	1	6.7%	0	4	4.2%	0	1	3.6%	0	1,345	12.6%	20
Foster Home	2949	45.6	104	2034	50.8	24	64	61.0	33	8	53.3	0	72	75.8	10	20	71.4	8	5,147	48.0	179
Institution	934	14.4	27	404	10.1	3	15	14.3	12	2	13.3	0	10	10.5	1	6	21.4	0	1,371	12.8	43
Group Home	342	5.3	6	94	2.3	0	2	1.9	0	1	6.7	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	439	4.1	6
Hospital / Nursing Home	201	3.1	5	58	1.4	1	2	1.9	1	2	13.3	0	0	0.0	0	1	3.6	0	264	2.5	7
Guardian	725	11.2	8	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	725	6.8	8
Other	720	11.1	52	684	17.1	9	9	8.6	3	1	6.7	0	9	9.5	2	0	0.0	0	1,423	13.3	66
Total Children	6468	100.0%	209	4003	100.0%	40	105	100.0%	59	15	100.0%	0	95	100.0%	13	28	100.0%	8	10,714	100.0%	329


<sup>\*</sup> Includes delinquent children.

Note: Hisp. = Hispanic.

Note: The federal definition of race is used with Hispanic separated as an ethnic origin for all races.


#### INDIANA FAMILY AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Number of Residential Child Caring Facilities, and Foster Homes by County as of June 30, 2000



### Number Of Foster Homes, Child Placing Agencies and Residential Child Caring Facilities As Of June 30 Of Fiscal Years 1991 - 2000

#### **Number Of Facilities**



## INDIANA FAMILY AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN CHILD PROTECTION SERVICE PROGRAM CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT BY COUNTY STATE FISCAL YEAR 2000

	Number of Children Abused or Neglected														
	Se	xual Abuse		Phy	sical Abuse		Al	use Total		<u>Ne</u>	glect Total		Overall	Percent Subs	stantiated
County	Sub	Unsub	Total	Sub	Unsub	Total	Sub	Unsub	Total	Sub	Unsub	Total	Total	Abuse	Neglect
Adams	13	7	20	22	20	42	35	27	62	113	62	175	237	56%	65%
Allen	121	72	193	206	208	414	327	280	607	985	584	1569	2176	54%	63%
Bartholomew	45	18	63	31	46	77	76	64	140	144	203	347	487	54%	41%
Benton	4	1	5	9	8	17	13	9	22	22	27	49	71	59%	45%
Blackford	5	5	10	4	8	12	9	13	22	27	23	50	72	41%	54%
Boone	14	13	27	21	43	64	35	56	91	43	74	117	208	38%	37%
Brown	9	16	25	14	12	26	23	28	51	24	67	91	142	45%	26%
Carroll	19	8	27	16	4	20	35	12	47	43	27	70	117	74%	61%
Cass	26	20	46	29	35	64	55	55	110	67	82	149	259	50%	45%
Clark	129	56	185	119	128	247	248	184	432	710	498	1208	1640	57%	59%
Clay	20	5	25	30	25	55	50	30	80	87	53	140	220	63%	62%
Clinton	38	19	57	20	14	34	58	33	91	90	54	144	235	64%	63%
Crawford	8	5	13	3	8	11	11	13	24	49	31	80	104	46%	61%
Daviess	9	3	12	5	7	12	14	10	24	40	45	85	109	58%	47%
DeKalb	56	32	88	62	53	115	118	85	203	331	208	539	742	58%	61%
Dearborn	19	10	29	27	23	50	46	33	79	65	50	115	194	58%	57%
Decatur	20	8	28	14	22	36	34	30	64	63	39	102	166	53%	62%
Delaware	87	43	130	53	106	159	140	149	289	452	377	829	1118	48%	55%
DuBois	24	12	36	19	32	51	43	44	87	80	86	166	253	49%	48%
Elkhart	167	129	296	79	188	267	246	317	563	323	515	838	1401	44%	39%
Fayette	27	23	50	21	22	43	48	45	93	96	126	222	315	52%	43%
Floyd	38	13	51	62	25	87	100	38	138	250	69	319	457	72%	78%
Fountain	8	2	10	9	8	17	17	10	27	54	20	74	101	63%	73%
Franklin	26	7	33	7	17	24	33	24	57	31	72	103	160	58%	30%
Fulton	6	2	8	10	1	11	16	3	19	41	1	42	61	84%	98%
Gibson	41	15	56	29	17	46	70	32	102	142	63	205	307	69%	69%
Grant	30	22	52	35	57	92	65	79	144	129	268	397	541	45%	32%
Greene	62	38	100	30	37	67	92	75	167	200	110	310	477	55%	65%
Hamilton	62	20	82	28	31	59	90	51	141	54	77	131	272	64%	41%
Hancock	32	18	50	21	27	48	53	45	98	52	65	117	215	54%	44%
Harrison	17	18	35	26	28	54	43	46	89	78	73	151	240	48%	52%
Hendricks	51	12	63	34	30	64	85	42	127	87	51	138	265	67%	63%
Henry	31	12	43	26	27	53	57	39	96	128	73	201	297	59%	64%
Howard	112	74	186	86	62	148	198	136	334	240	163	403	737	59%	60%
Huntington	28	8	36	14	37	51	42	45	87	44	77	121	208	48%	36%

Note: Sub=Substantiated Unsub=Unsubstantiated

## INDIANA FAMILY AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN CHILD PROTECTION SERVICE PROGRAM CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT BY COUNTY STATE FISCAL YEAR 2000


County   Sub   Unity   Total   Sub   Sub						N	lumber	of Children	Abused or I	Neglected						
Jackson		Sex	kual Abuse		<u>Phy</u>	sical Abuse		Al	ouse Total		Ne	glect Total		Overall	Percent Sub	stantiated
Jasper   25	County	Sub		Total							Sub	Unsub				
Jay	Jackson		16	57	29		76					143				
Jefferson   27   13   40   25   31   56   52   44   96   107   114   221   317   54%   48%   48%   Jennings   15   2   17   27   16   43   42   18   60   149   18   167   227   70%   89%   89%   36   125   108   67   175   300   71%   62%   68%   68%   68%   68   696   180   182   185   157   342   524   47%   54%   68%	Jasper														50%	
Jennings   15				-		_	-		_		_		-			
Johnson 54 32 86 32 64 96 86 96 182 185 157 342 524 47% 54% Knox 47 19 66 42 17 59 89 36 125 108 67 175 300 71% 62% Kscsiusko 60 16 76 35 10 45 95 26 121 151 30 181 302 79% 83% LaGrange 51 18 69 29 23 52 80 41 121 64 36 100 221 66% 64% Lake 154 76 230 244 164 408 398 240 638 754 318 1072 1710 62% 70% LaPone 81 64 145 115 108 223 196 172 368 306 309 615 983 53% 50% Lawrence 48 9 57 21 26 47 69 35 104 96 61 157 261 66% 61% Madison 71 48 119 128 125 253 199 173 372 476 367 843 1215 53% 56% Marshall 22 8 30 23 13 36 45 21 66 120 17 137 203 66% 66% 66% Marshall 22 8 30 23 13 36 45 21 66 120 17 137 203 66% 68% 88% Marin 8 3 11 7 4 4 11 15 7 22 2 8 26 54 76 66% 67% Mortgomery 24 13 33 37 35 26 61 59 39 98 85 163 248 346 60% 34% Mortgom 65 33 98 35 22 57 100 55 155 108 70 178 333 48 60% 67% 42% Mortgomery 14 15 29 18 23 41 19 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67% 67% 99 14 15 29 18 23 41 19 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67% 67% 99 18 1103 184 224 294 42% 35% 00m 17 19 36 18 23 41 1 20 34 34 34 34 66 45 11 19 33 48 60% 67% 90 17 18 33 48 60% 67% 90 17 18 33 48 60% 67% 90 17 18 33 48 60% 67% 90 17 18 33 13 26 11 19 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67% 90 17 19 36 18 17 35 36 24 11 17 27 365 22 11 36 11 165 30 14 11 165 30 14 14 15 29 18 23 41 32 34 34 66 45 11 33 34 66 60% 67% 90 17 18 33 34 66 60% 67% 90 18 18 18 17 35 36 36 74 45 45 90 161 49% 50% 90 161 49% 50% 90 18 10 13 3 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24						_					_					
Knox   47   19   66   42   17   59   89   36   125   108   67   175   300   71%   62%   Kosciusko   60   16   76   35   10   45   95   26   121   151   30   181   302   79%   83%   83%   125   164   36   100   221   66%   64%   134   154   76   230   244   164   408   398   240   638   754   318   1072   1710   62%   70%   124	Jennings	15	2	17	27	16	43	42	18	60	149	18	167	227	70%	89%
Kosciusko   60	Johnson	54	32	86	32	64	96	86	96	182	185	157	342	524	47%	54%
LaGrange 51 18 69 29 23 52 80 41 121 64 36 100 221 66% 64%   Lake 154 76 230 244 164 408 398 240 638 754 318 1072 1710 62% 70%   LaPorte 81 64 145 115 108 223 196 172 368 306 309 615 983 53% 50%   Lawrence 48 9 57 21 26 47 69 35 104 96 61 157 261 66% 61%   Madison 71 48 119 128 125 253 199 173 372 476 367 843 1215 53% 56%   Marion 1034 415 1449 1051 656 1707 2085 1071 3156 2401 1185 3566 6722 66% 88%   Marin 8 3 3 11 7 4 4 11 15 7 7 22 28 28 26 54 76 68% 88%   Marin 15 16 31 38 8 46 53 24 77 70 23 93 170 69% 75%   Morroce 84 57 144 117 67 184 201 124 325 377 200 577 902 62% 65%   Morgan 65 33 98 35 22 57 100 55 155 108 70 178 333 48 60% 34%   Newton 4 2 6 6 6 7 13 10 9 19 7 3 10 29 53% 70%   Noble 13 7 20 28 43 71 41 50 9 1 90 126 216 307 45% 42%   Ohio 3 1 4 6 5 11 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67%   Noble 13 7 20 28 43 71 41 50 9 1 90 126 216 307 45% 42%   Ohio 3 1 4 6 5 11 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67%   Noble 13 7 20 28 43 71 41 50 9 1 90 126 216 307 45% 42%   Ohio 3 1 4 6 5 11 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67%   Noble 13 7 20 28 43 71 41 50 9 1 90 126 216 307 45% 42%   Ohio 3 1 4 6 5 11 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67%   Orange 14 15 29 18 23 41 32 35 35 36 71 45 45 90 161 49% 50%   Parke 20 14 34 14 20 34 34 34 34 68 45 61 106 174 50% 42%   Owen 17 19 36 18 17 35 35 36 37 14 45 32 30 31 34 46 60% 67%   Perry 13 13 26 9 17 26 22 30 55 28 9 153 242 294 42% 37%   Posey 9 6 61 5 20 20 40 29 26 55 23 44 96 146 115 261 357 54% 56%   Posey 9 9 6 15 20 20 40 29 26 55 23 34 71 10 31 84 235 357 54% 56%   Pulsaki 4 3 7 49 30 20 50 62 37 99 81 103 103 184 233 63% 44%   Pulsaki 4 3 7 49 30 20 50 62 37 99 81 100 47 227 267 58% 59% 59%   Pulsaki 4 3 3 7 43 11 24 17 14 31 21 10 31 84 233 63% 44%   Pulsaki 4 3 3 7 49 30 20 50 60 62 37 99 81 100 47 227 267 58% 59% 59%   Pulsaki 4 3 3 7 13 11 24 17 14 31 21 10 31 84 233 63% 44%   Pulsaki 4 3 3 7 13 11 24 17 14 31 21 10 31 84 233 63% 44%   Pulsaki 4 3 3 7 13 11 24 17 14 31 21 10 31 84 233 63% 44%   Pulsaki 4 3 3 7 13 31 32 65 66 60 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	Knox	47	19	66	42	17	59	89	36	125	108	67	175	300	71%	
Lake of the control of the c	Kosciusko	60	16	76	35	10	45	95	26	121	151	30	181	302	79%	
LaPorte	LaGrange	51		69	29	23	52	80	41	121	64	36	100	221	66%	
Lawrence	Lake	154	76	230	244	164	408	398	240	638	754	318	1072	1710	62%	70%
Madison         71         48         119         128         125         253         199         173         372         476         367         843         1215         53%         56%           Marion         1034         415         1449         1051         656         1707         2085         1071         3156         2401         1165         3566         6722         66%         67%           Marshall         22         8         30         23         13         36         45         21         66         120         17         137         203         68%         88%           Marini         8         3         111         7         4         111         15         7         22         28         26         54         76         68%         52%           Miami         15         16         31         38         8         46         53         24         77         70         23         93         170         69%         75%           Morrion         4         24         13         37         35         26         61         59         39         98         85         163	LaPorte	81	64	145	115	108	223	196	172	368	306	309	615	983	53%	50%
Marion         1034         415         1449         1051         656         1707         2085         1071         3156         2401         1165         3566         6722         66%         67%           Marshall         22         8         30         23         13         36         45         21         66         120         17         137         203         68%         88%           Martin         8         3         111         7         4         111         15         7         22         28         26         54         76         68%         52%           Monroe         84         57         141         117         67         184         201         124         325         377         200         577         902         62%         65%           Mortgan         65         33         38         35         22         57         100         55         155         108         70         178         333         65%         61%           Newton         4         2         6         6         7         13         10         9         19         7         3         10         2	Lawrence	48	9	57	21	26	47	69	35	104	96	61	157	261	66%	61%
Marshall         22         8         30         23         13         36         45         21         66         120         17         137         203         68%         88%           Martin         8         3         11         7         4         11         15         7         22         28         26         54         76         68%         52%           Miami         15         16         31         38         8         46         53         24         77         70         23         93         170         69%         75%           Monroe         84         57         141         117         67         184         201         124         325         377         200         577         902         62%         65%           Morgan         65         33         98         35         22         57         100         55         155         108         70         178         333         65%         61%           Newton         4         2         6         6         7         13         10         9         19         7         3         10         29         53%	Madison	71	48	119	128	125	253	199	173	372	476	367	843	1215	53%	56%
Martin 8 3 3 11 7 4 11 15 7 22 28 26 54 76 68% 52% Miami 15 16 31 38 8 46 53 24 77 70 23 93 170 69% 75% Montoe 84 57 141 117 67 184 201 124 325 377 200 577 902 62% 65% Mortgan 65 33 98 35 22 57 100 55 155 108 70 178 333 65% 61% Newton 4 2 6 6 6 7 13 10 9 19 7 3 10 29 53% 70% Noble 13 7 20 28 43 71 41 50 91 90 126 216 307 45% 42% Ohio 3 1 4 6 5 11 9 6 15 22 11 33 48 60% 67% 178 173 184 20 34 13 23 8 70 50 93 143 213 46% 35% Owen 17 19 36 18 17 35 35 35 36 71 45 45 90 161 49% 50% Parke 20 14 34 34 14 20 34 34 34 68 45 61 106 174 50% 42% Perry 13 13 13 26 9 17 26 22 30 52 89 153 242 294 42% 37% Pike 3 0 3 7 5 12 10 5 15 15 42 1 43 58 67% 98% Posey 9 6 15 20 20 40 29 26 55 23 48 71 126 53% 32% Pulaski 4 3 7 14 46 20 30 50 52 44 96 146 115 261 357 54% 56% Pulaski 4 3 7 13 11 24 17 14 31 21 10 31 62 55% 68% Pulaski 4 3 7 14 46 20 30 50 52 44 96 146 115 261 357 54% 56% Pulaski 4 3 2 17 49 30 20 50 52 44 96 146 115 261 357 54% 56% Randolph 32 17 49 30 20 50 52 44 96 146 115 261 357 54% 56% Randolph 32 17 49 30 20 50 52 44 96 146 115 261 357 58% 79% Randolph 32 17 49 30 20 23 43 35 25 60 180 47 227 287 58% 79%	Marion	1034	415	1449	1051	656	1707	2085	1071	3156	2401	1165	3566	6722	66%	67%
Miami         15         16         31         38         8         46         53         24         77         70         23         93         170         69%         75%           Montore         84         57         141         117         67         184         201         124         325         377         200         577         902         62%         65%           Morgan         65         33         98         35         22         57         100         55         155         108         70         178         333         65%         61%           Newton         4         2         6         6         7         13         10         9         19         7         3         10         29         53%         65%         61%           Newton         4         2         6         6         7         13         10         9         19         7         3         10         29         53%         65%         61%           Noble         13         7         20         28         43         71         41         50         91         90         126         216	Marshall	22	8	30	23	13	36	45	21	66	120	17	137	203	68%	88%
Miami	Martin	8	3	11	7	4	11	15	7	22	28	26	54	76	68%	52%
Montgomery         24         13         37         35         26         61         59         39         98         85         163         248         346         60%         34%           Morgan         65         33         98         35         22         57         100         55         155         108         70         178         333         65%         61%           Newton         4         2         6         6         7         13         10         9         19         7         3         10         29         53%         70%           Noble         13         7         20         28         43         71         41         50         91         90         126         216         307         45%         42%           Ohio         3         1         4         6         5         11         9         6         15         22         11         33         48         60%         67%           Orange         14         15         29         18         23         41         32         38         70         50         93         143         21         40%	Miami	15	16	31	38	8	46	53	24	77	70	23	93	170	69%	75%
Morgan         65         33         98         35         22         57         100         55         155         108         70         178         333         65%         61%           Newton         4         2         6         6         7         13         10         9         19         7         3         10         29         53%         70%           Noble         13         7         20         28         43         71         41         50         91         90         126         216         307         45%         42%           Ohio         3         1         4         6         5         11         9         6         15         22         11         33         48         60%         67%           Orange         14         15         29         18         23         41         32         38         70         50         93         143         213         46%         35%           Owen         17         19         36         18         17         35         35         36         71         45         45         90         161         49%         35%	Monroe	84	57	141	117	67	184	201	124	325	377	200	577	902	62%	65%
Newton	Montgomery	24	13	37	35	26	61	59	39	98	85	163	248	346	60%	34%
Noble         13         7         20         28         43         71         41         50         91         90         126         216         307         45%         42%           Ohio         3         1         4         6         5         11         9         6         15         22         11         33         48         60%         67%           Orange         14         15         29         18         23         41         32         38         70         50         93         143         213         46%         35%           Owen         17         19         36         18         17         35         35         36         71         45         45         90         161         49%         50%           Parke         20         14         34         14         20         34         34         34         68         45         61         106         174         50%         42%           Perry         13         13         26         9         17         26         22         30         52         89         153         242         294         42% <t< td=""><td>Morgan</td><td>65</td><td>33</td><td>98</td><td>35</td><td>22</td><td>57</td><td>100</td><td>55</td><td>155</td><td>108</td><td>70</td><td>178</td><td>333</td><td>65%</td><td>61%</td></t<>	Morgan	65	33	98	35	22	57	100	55	155	108	70	178	333	65%	61%
Ohio         3         1         4         6         5         11         9         6         15         22         11         33         48         60%         67%           Orange         14         15         29         18         23         41         32         38         70         50         93         143         213         46%         35%           Owen         17         19         36         18         17         35         35         36         71         45         45         90         161         49%         50%           Parke         20         14         34         14         20         34         34         34         68         45         61         106         174         50%         42%           Perry         13         13         26         9         17         26         22         30         52         89         153         242         294         42%         37%           Pike         3         0         3         7         5         12         10         5         15         42         1         43         58         67%         98%	Newton	4	2	6	6	7	13	10	9	19	7	3	10	29	53%	70%
Orange Owen         14         15         29         18         23         41         32         38         70         50         93         143         213         46%         35%           Owen         17         19         36         18         17         35         35         36         71         45         45         90         161         49%         50%           Parke         20         14         34         14         20         34         34         34         68         45         61         106         174         50%         42%           Perry         13         13         26         9         17         26         22         30         52         89         153         242         294         42%         37%           Pike         3         0         3         7         5         12         10         5         15         42         1         43         58         67%         98%           Porter         58         27         85         98         90         188         156         117         273         650         207         857         1130         57% <td>Noble</td> <td>13</td> <td>7</td> <td>20</td> <td>28</td> <td>43</td> <td>71</td> <td>41</td> <td>50</td> <td>91</td> <td>90</td> <td>126</td> <td>216</td> <td>307</td> <td>45%</td> <td>42%</td>	Noble	13	7	20	28	43	71	41	50	91	90	126	216	307	45%	42%
Owen         17         19         36         18         17         35         35         36         71         45         45         90         161         49%         50%           Parke         20         14         34         14         20         34         34         34         68         45         61         106         174         50%         42%           Perry         13         13         26         9         17         26         22         30         52         89         153         242         294         42%         37%           Pike         3         0         3         7         5         12         10         5         15         42         1         43         58         67%         98%           Porter         58         27         85         98         90         188         156         117         273         650         207         857         1130         57%         76%           Posey         9         6         15         20         20         40         29         26         55         23         48         71         126         53%	Ohio	3	1	4	6	5	11	9	6	15	22	11	33	48	60%	
Parke         20         14         34         14         20         34         34         34         34         68         45         61         106         174         50%         42%           Perry         13         13         26         9         17         26         22         30         52         89         153         242         294         42%         37%           Pike         3         0         3         7         5         12         10         5         15         42         1         43         58         67%         98%           Porter         58         27         85         98         90         188         156         117         273         650         207         857         1130         57%         76%           Posey         9         6         15         20         20         40         29         26         55         23         48         71         126         53%         32%           Pulaski         4         3         7         13         11         24         17         14         31         21         10         31         62	Orange	14	15	29	18	23	41	32	38	70	50	93	143	213	46%	35%
Perry         13         13         26         9         17         26         22         30         52         89         153         242         294         42%         37%           Pike         3         0         3         7         5         12         10         5         15         42         1         43         58         67%         98%           Potter         58         27         85         98         90         188         156         117         273         650         207         857         1130         57%         76%           Posey         9         6         15         20         20         40         29         26         55         23         48         71         126         53%         32%           Pulaski         4         3         7         13         11         24         17         14         31         21         10         31         62         55%         68%           Putnam         32         14         46         20         30         50         52         44         96         146         115         261         357         54%	Owen	17	19	36	18	17	35	35	36	71	45	45	90	161	49%	50%
Pike         3         0         3         7         5         12         10         5         15         42         1         43         58         67%         98%           Porter         58         27         85         98         90         188         156         117         273         650         207         857         1130         57%         76%           Posey         9         6         15         20         20         40         29         26         55         23         48         71         126         53%         32%           Pulaski         4         3         7         13         11         24         17         14         31         21         10         31         62         55%         68%           Putnam         32         14         46         20         30         50         52         44         96         146         115         261         357         54%         56%           Randolph         32         17         49         30         20         50         62         37         99         81         103         184         283         63%	Parke	20	14	34	14	20	34	34	34	68	45	61	106	174	50%	42%
Porter         58         27         85         98         90         188         156         117         273         650         207         857         1130         57%         76%           Posey         9         6         15         20         20         40         29         26         55         23         48         71         126         53%         32%           Pulaski         4         3         7         13         11         24         17         14         31         21         10         31         62         55%         68%           Putnam         32         14         46         20         30         50         52         44         96         146         115         261         357         54%         56%           Randolph         32         17         49         30         20         50         62         37         99         81         103         184         283         63%         44%           Ripley         15         2         17         20         23         43         35         25         60         180         47         227         287 <t< td=""><td>Perry</td><td>13</td><td>13</td><td>26</td><td>9</td><td>17</td><td>26</td><td>22</td><td>30</td><td>52</td><td>89</td><td>153</td><td>242</td><td>294</td><td>42%</td><td>37%</td></t<>	Perry	13	13	26	9	17	26	22	30	52	89	153	242	294	42%	37%
Posey         9         6         15         20         20         40         29         26         55         23         48         71         126         53%         32%           Pulaski         4         3         7         13         11         24         17         14         31         21         10         31         62         55%         68%           Putnam         32         14         46         20         30         50         52         44         96         146         115         261         357         54%         56%           Randolph         32         17         49         30         20         50         62         37         99         81         103         184         283         63%         44%           Ripley         15         2         17         20         23         43         35         25         60         180         47         227         287         58%         79%	Pike	3	0	3	7	5	12	10	5	15	42	1	43	58	67%	98%
Pulaski         4         3         7         13         11         24         17         14         31         21         10         31         62         55%         68%           Putnam         32         14         46         20         30         50         52         44         96         146         115         261         357         54%         56%           Randolph         32         17         49         30         20         50         62         37         99         81         103         184         283         63%         44%           Ripley         15         2         17         20         23         43         35         25         60         180         47         227         287         58%         79%	Porter	58	27	85	98	90	188	156	117	273	650	207	857	1130	57%	76%
Putnam         32         14         46         20         30         50         52         44         96         146         115         261         357         54%         56%           Randolph         32         17         49         30         20         50         62         37         99         81         103         184         283         63%         44%           Ripley         15         2         17         20         23         43         35         25         60         180         47         227         287         58%         79%	Posey	9	6	15	20	20	40	29	26	55	23	48	71	126	53%	32%
Randolph     32     17     49     30     20     50     62     37     99     81     103     184     283     63%     44%       Ripley     15     2     17     20     23     43     35     25     60     180     47     227     287     58%     79%	Pulaski	4	3	7	13	11	24	17	14	31	21	10	31	62	55%	68%
Ripley 15 2 17 20 23 43 35 25 60 180 47 227 287 58% 79%	Putnam	32	14	46	20	30	50	52	44	96	146	115	261	357	54%	56%
Ripley 15 2 17 20 23 43 35 25 60 180 47 227 287 58% 79%	Randolph	32	17	49	30	20	50	62	37	99	81	103	184	283	63%	44%
	Ripley	15	2	17	20	23	43	35	25	60	180	47	227		58%	79%
		3	4	7	8	6	14	11	10	21	14	20	34		52%	41%

Note: Sub=Substantiated Unsub=Unsubstantiated

## INDIANA FAMILY AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION DIVISION OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN CHILD PROTECTION SERVICE PROGRAM CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT BY COUNTY STATE FISCAL YEAR 2000


	Number of Children Abused or Neglected														
	Sex	cual Abuse		Phy:	sical Abuse	)	Al	ouse Total		<u>Ne</u>	glect Total		Overall	Percent Subs	stantiated
County	Sub	Unsub	Total	Sub	Unsub	Total	Sub	Unsub	Total	Sub	Unsub	Total	Total	Abuse	Neglect
St. Joseph	157	72	229	190	171	361	347	243	590	392	317	709	1299	59%	55%
Scott	54	32	86	37	50	87	91	82	173	230	127	357	530	53%	64%
Shelby	63	29	92	38	28	66	101	57	158	90	51	141	299	64%	64%
Spencer	17	2	19	11	7	18	28	9	37	36	20	56	93	76%	64%
Starke	12	2	14	8	22	30	20	24	44	60	43	103	147	45%	58%
Steuben	22	6	28	23	37	60	45	43	88	145	115	260	348	51%	56%
Sullivan	10	6	16	12	9	21	22	15	37	72	57	129	166	59%	56%
Switzerland	8	2	10	5	5	10	13	7	20	41	46	87	107	65%	47%
Tippecanoe	76	77	153	52	118	170	128	195	323	327	642	969	1292	40%	34%
Tipton	4	0	4	4	5	9	8	5	13	23	8	31	44	62%	74%
Union	11	0	11	4	1	5	15	1	16	15	6	21	37	94%	71%
Vanderburgh	149	70	219	152	109	261	301	179	480	556	334	890	1370	63%	62%
Vermillion	10	12	22	10	14	24	20	26	46	26	38	64	110	43%	41%
Vigo	85	56	141	58	92	150	143	148	291	268	453	721	1012	49%	37%
Wabash	36	17	53	23	21	44	59	38	97	74	32	106	203	61%	70%
Warren	5	2	7	9	11	20	14	13	27	11	16	27	54	52%	41%
Warrick	27	9	36	38	50	88	65	59	124	138	161	299	423	52%	46%
Washington	42	16	58	10	50	60	52	66	118	44	120	164	282	44%	27%
Wayne	76	40	116	43	63	106	119	103	222	187	239	426	648	54%	44%
Wells	24	10	34	19	39	58	43	49	92	88	96	184	276	47%	48%
White	0	1	1	9	16	25	9	17	26	53	27	80	106	35%	66%
Whitley	13	3	16	14	8	22	27	11	38	69	19	88	126	71%	78%
Central Office	163	41	204	58	36	94	221	77	298	59	29	88	386	74%	67%
State Totals	4637	2287	6924	4415	4193	8608	9052	6480	15532	15634	11813	27447	42979	58%	57%

### Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Number Of Abuse & Neglect Children Per 1,000 Population Under The Age Of 18 Substantiated Cases For State Fiscal Year 2000



## Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Total Number Of Children Reported As Victims Of Abuse And Neglect State Fiscal Years 1991 To 2000

#### **Number Of Children**




**State Fiscal Years** 

NOTE: Institutional investigations are included in 1999, but not in prior years.

## Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Number Of Children Reported As Victims Of Abuse State Fiscal Years 1991-2000

#### **Number of Children**




**State Fiscal Years** 

Note: The status "Indicated" was discontinued in fiscal year 1995.

## Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Number Of Children Reported As Victims Of Neglect State Fiscal Years 1991-2000

#### **Number of Children**



**State Fiscal Years** 

Note: The status "Indicated" was discontinued in fiscal year 1995.

#### Demographic Characteristics of Children Substantiated Cases of Abuse and Neglect State Fiscal Year 2000


Age	Physica	Physical Abuse		I Abuse	Ne	glect	To	otal
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
0 - 3	645	14.6%	217	4.7%	4,774	30.5%	5,636	22.8%
4 - 6	700	15.9	677	14.6	2,713	17.4	4,090	16.6
7 - 12	1,632	37.0	1,530	33.0	4,900	31.3	8,062	32.7
13 +	1,185	26.8	1,842	39.7	2,388	15.3	5,415	21.9
Not Reported	253	5.7	371	8.0	859	5.5	1,483	6.0
Total	4,415	100.0%	4,637	100.0%	15,634	100.0%	24,686	100.0%

Sex	Physical Abuse		Sexua	I Abuse	Ne	glect	To	otal
	Number Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	2,358	53.4%	1,027	22.1%	7,959	50.9%	11,344	46.0%
Female	2,016	45.7	3,575	77.1	7,582	48.5	13,173	53.4
Not Reported	41	0.9	35	0.8	93	0.6	169	0.7
Total	4,415	100.0%	4,637	100.0%	15,634	100.0%	24,686	100.0%

Race	Physica	I Abuse	Sexua	l Abuse	Ne	glect	To	otal
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All (includes Hispanio	<u>:)</u>							
White	3,165	71.7%	3,686	79.5%	11,931	76.3%	18,782	76.1%
Black	1,041	23.6	671	14.5	2,968	19.0	4,680	19.0
American Indian/								
Alaskan Native	36	8.0	38	0.8	162	1.0	236	1.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	20	0.5	11	0.2	36	0.2	67	0.3
Multiracial	38	0.9	19	0.4	154	1.0	211	0.9
Unavailable	115	2.6	212	4.6	383	2.4	710	2.9
Total	4,415	100.0%	4,637	100.0%	15,634	100.0%	24,686	100.0%
<u>Hispanic</u>								
White	68	52.3%	61	69.3%	280	54.6%	409	56.0%
Black	26	20.0	1	1.1	62	12.1	89	12.2
American Indian/								0.0
Alaskan Native	27	20.8	22	25.0	125	24.4	174	23.8
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	3.1	0	0.0	2	0.4	6	8.0
Multiracial	2	1.5	0	0.0	21	4.1	23	3.1
Unavailable	3	2.3	4	4.5	23	4.5	30	4.1
Total	130	100.0%	88	100.0%	513	100.0%	731	100.0%

# Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Type of Abuse and Neglect By Age Group (Substantiated Cases) State Fiscal Year 2000


#### **Number of Children**



NOTE: 371 sexual abuse, 253 physical abuse and 859 neglect are not shown because their age was not reported.

# Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Number Of Children Reported As Victims Of Sexual Abuse (Substantiated Cases)\* State Fiscal Years 1991 - 2000

#### **Number Of Children**



**State Fiscal Years** 

<sup>\*</sup> The status "Indicated" was discontinued in fiscal year 1995, and only "Substantiated" cases are counted subsequently.

#### Type of Child Abuse by Age Group State Fiscal Year 2000 (for 9,052 Substantiated Children)\*

	Age Group										
						Not					
	Total	0-3	4-6	7-12	13+	Reported					
Death - Total Children Involved	28 100.0%	20 71.4%	2 7.1%	5 17.9%	1 3.6%	0 0					
Sexual Abuse - Total Children Involved	4,637 100.0%	217 4.7%	677 14.6%	1,530 33.0%	1,842 39.7%	371 0					
Child Molestation Sexual Misconduct With a Minor Incest Rape Criminal Deviate Conduct Exploitation/Pornography Indecent Exposure Child Seduction Harmful/Obscene Performance Prostitution	5,778 1,289 611 396 369 129 128 103 73 19	537 6 49 3 18 13 4 2 5	1,140 22 86 7 54 20 21 1 11	2,220 61 207 60 108 32 66 0 24 3	1,329 1,094 207 283 153 43 22 88 25	552 106 62 43 36 21 15 12 8					
Physical Abuse - Total Children Involved	4,415 100.0%	645 14.6%	700 15.9%	1,632 37.0%	1,185 26.8%	253 0					
Inappropriate Discipline Bruises / Cuts / Welts Wounds / Punctures / Bites Burns/ Scalds Bone Fractures Shaking / Dislocation / Sprains Skull Fractures / Brain Damage Asphyxiation / Suffocation Internal Injury Shaken Baby Syndrome Drug Abuse Gunshot Wounds Alcohol Abuse Poisoning	6,565 6,436 389 372 264 118 95 53 48 47 38 16 13	786 1,028 98 183 175 70 82 18 26 47 27 2 6 2	1,082 1,109 57 68 13 8 6 5 5 0 1 4 0	2,468 2,305 110 57 22 20 5 14 8 0 1 7 0 2	1,669 1,499 88 27 35 12 0 16 5 0 8 3 7	560 495 36 37 19 8 2 0 4 0 1 0 0					

<sup>\*</sup> Data reflects multiple types of abuse for some children.

#### Type of Child Neglect by Age Group State Fiscal Year 2000 ( for 15,634 Substantiated Children ) \*


	Age Group										
	Total	0-3	4-6	7-12	13+	Not Reported					
	Total	0-3	4-0	7-12	13+	Reported					
Death - Total Children Involved	16	13	2	0	1	0					
	100.0%	81.3%	12.5%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%					
Neglect - Total Children Involved	15,634	4,774	2,713	4,900	2,388	859					
	100.0%	30.5%	17.4%	31.3%	15.3%	5.5%					
Environment Life / Health Endangering	22,863	7,164	3,957	6,855	2,985	1,902					
Lack of Supervision	15,950	4,197	3,012	5,012	2,361	1,368					
Lack of Food / Shelter / Clothing	5,948	1,860	1,072	1,807	731	478					
Poor Hygiene	4,726	1,634	894	1,539	281	378					
Educational Neglect	2,981	20	311	1,692	756	202					
Medical Neglect	2,714	1,052	324	834	363	141					
Abandonment	1,177	283	141	294	395	64					
Drug Related Conditions	1,122	608	85	189	158	82					
Lock In / Out	677	180	112	173	146	66					
Close Confinement	287	91	53	85	32	26					
Malnutrition	243	144	22	33	12	32					
Failure to Thrive	203	153	17	16	2	15					
Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	13	11	0	1	0	1					

<sup>\*</sup> Data reflects multiple types of neglect for some children.

#### **Fatalities Due To Abuse/Neglect**

(Substantiated Cases) State Fiscal Years 1990-2000

#### **Number Of Children**



**State Fiscal Years** 

The status "Indicated" was discontinued in fiscal year 1995, and only "Substantiated" cases are counted subsequently.

### Indiana Family and Social Services Administration Demographic Characteristics of Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities

State Fiscal Year 2000

			State	iscal Year 2000					
TOTAL NUMBER	R OF CHIL	DREN	<u> </u>	AGE OF PERPE	TRATOR	<u>−</u>			
Abuse	Neglect		Total	Age	Abuse	Neglect	Total		
28 64%	16 36%		44 100%	Under 16	1	0	1		
				16-19	3	1	4		
AGE OF CHILD	REN			20-24	6	2	8		
				25-29	5	3	8		
Age	Abuse No	eglect	Total	30-34	6	1	4 8 8 7 3 7 6 44 Total 32 9 1 2 44 Total 17 27 44		
Under 1	14	7	21	35-39	2	1	3		
1-3	6	6	12	40 & over	3	4	7		
4-6	2	2	4	Unknown	2	4	6		
7-9	2	0	2	Total	28	16	44		
10-12	3	0	3	1 otal	20	10			
13-17	1	1	2	RACE OF PERF	PETRATO	)R			
Not reported	0	0	0	-					
Total	28	16	44	Race	Abuse	Neglect	Total		
Total	20	10	77	White	21	11			
Average Age of	Children =	3.03	vears	Black	5	4			
/worage / igo or		0.00	y ca. c	American Indian/	Ū		Ŭ		
RACE OF CHIL	DREN			Alaskan Native	0	1	1		
TO TOL OT OTHE	DICEIN			Unavailable	2	0	-		
Race	Abuse Ne	anlect	Total		28	16			
White	19	10	29	Total	28	10	44		
Black	5	5	10	SEV OF BEDDE	TDATOR	<b>.</b>			
ыаск American Indian/	_	5	10	SEX OF PERPE	IKAIUR	<u> </u>			
American indian/ Alaskan Native		1	4	Sov	Ahuaa	Noglost	Total		
	0 3	-	1	<u>Sex</u> Male		Neglect 2			
Multiracial	_	0	3		14	3			
Unavailable	1	0	1	Female	14	13			
Total	28	16	44	Total	28	16	44		
SEX OF CHILD	<u>REN</u>			RELATIONSHIP (	OF PERF	PETRATO	OR TO		
Sov	Abusa Na	adoct	Total	Polationship	Abusa	Nogloct	Total		
<u>Sex</u>	Abuse Ne		<u>Total</u>	Relationship Methor		Neglect 10			
Male	18	12	30	Mother	11	10			
Female	10	4	14	Father	9	2			
Total	28	16	44	Step-Parent	1	0	-		
			_	Foster Parent	0	1	-		
<u>MARITAL STAT</u>	<u>US OF PA</u>	RENT	<u>S</u>	Other Relative	3	1			
				Friend of Parent	2	0			
<u>Status</u>	Abuse Ne	<u>eglect</u>	<u>Total</u>	Babysitter	2	1			
Single	10	6	16	None	0	1	1		
Married	8	6	14	Total	28	16	44		
Divorced	5	0	5						
Separated	1	0	1	TYPE OF HOUS	<u>EHOLD</u>				
Living Together	4	2	6						
Unknown	0	2	2	<u>Household</u>	<u>Abuse</u>	<u>Neglect</u>	<u>Total</u>		
Total	28	16	44	Single Parent	13	4	17		
	-	-		Two Parent	10	7	17		
				Extended Family	2	3	5		
				Multi-Family	2	0	2		
				Foster Home	0	1			
				Unknown	1	1			
				Total	28	16			
COUNTY OF O	CCURREN	CE		Ι Ισιαι		10	<del></del>		
County	Abuse Ne	eglect	Total	County	Abuse	Neglect	Total		
Allen	0	1	1	Marion	4	3			
Dekalb	1	0	1	Monroe	0	1			
Delaware	1	1	2	Morgan	4	0			
Elkhart	1	1	2	Porter	2	0			
Floyd	0	1	1	Shelby	0	1			
Gibson	0	1	1	Starke	1	1	2		
Howard	1	1	2		1	0	1		
		-		Tippecanoe	-	_			
Huntington	1	0	1	Vanderburgh	1	1	2		
Jackson	1	0	1	Vigo	2	1	3		
Jennings	2	0	2	Wayne	2	0	2		
Johnson	1	0	1						
Lake	2	2	4	Total	28	16	44		

Total

28

16

44

2


2

Lake

### Indiana Family And Social Services Administration Source Of Initial Child Abuse & Neglect Reports


**Substantiated Reports State Fiscal Year 2000** 

#### **Percent Of Total Reports**



<sup>\*</sup>Other includes Military and unknown.


## Indiana Family and Social Services Administration Action Taken\* on Substantiated Child Abuse Cases State Fiscal Year 2000



Total - 44,298

<sup>\*</sup>Data reflects multiple action in some cases.

## Indiana Family & Social Services Administration Action Taken\* on Substantiated Child Neglect Cases State Fiscal Year 2000



Total - 113,210

<sup>\*</sup>Data reflects multiple action in some cases.

#### Demographic Characteristics of Perpetrators Substantiated Cases of Child Abuse and Neglect State Fiscal Year 2000

Sex	Physica	l Abuse	Sexual	Abuse	Ne	glect	Total		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Male	2,282	56.0%	3,742	90.9%	3,306	31.1%	9,330	49.6%	
Female	1,737	42.6	294	7.1	7,235	68.1	9,266	49.3	
Unknown	59	1.4	80	1.9	78	0.7	217	1.2	
Total	4,078	100.0%	4,116	100.0%	10,619	100.0%	18,813	100.0%	


Race	Physica	I Abuse	Sexual	Abuse	Ne	glect	To	otal
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All (includes Hispanio	<u>c)</u>							
White	2,869	70.4%	2,709	65.8%	8,431	79.4%	14,009	74.5%
Black	924	22.7	565	13.7	1,704	16.0	3,193	17.0
American Indian/								
Alaskan Native	40	1.0	45	1.1	111	1.0	196	1.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	0.3	11	0.3	31	0.3	55	0.3
Multiracial	7	0.2	8	0.2	25	0.2	40	0.2
Unavailable	225	5.5	778	18.9	317	3.0	1,320	7.0
Total	4,078	100.0%	4,116	100.0%	10,619	100.0%	18,813	100.0%
<u>Hispanic</u>								
White	61	52.6%	38	48.7%	125	51.2%	224	51.1%
Black	22	19.0	1	1.3	24	9.8	47	10.7
American Indian/								
Alaskan Native	26	22.4	30	38.5	73	29.9	129	29.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.9	1	1.3	1	0.4	3	0.7
Multiracial	0	0.0	1	1.3	5	2.0	6	1.4
Unavailable	6	5.2	7	9.0	16	6.6	29	6.6
Total	116	100.0%	78	100.0%	244	100.0%	438	100.0%

Duplica	ted Co	unt of	Perpetra	ators' F	Relations	ship to	Child '	Victim	
Relationship	Physica	l Abuse	Sexual	Abuse	Ne	glect	Total		
	Number Percent N		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Natural Parent	2,924	63.6%	388	8.3%	16,791	85.3%	20,103	69.4%	
Step-Parent	533	11.6	271	5.8	767	3.9	1,571	5.4	
Foster Parent	44	1.0	7	0.1	21	0.1	72	0.2	
Grandparent	109	2.4	131	2.8	474	2.4	714	2.5	
Sibling	53	1.2	402	8.6	88	0.4	543	1.9	
Other Relative	148	3.2	577	12.3	283	1.4	1,008	3.5	
Boy / Girl Friend									
of Parent	458	10.0	225	4.8	680	3.5	1,363	4.7	
Babysitter	78	1.7	49	1.0	175	0.9	302	1.0	
Institution Staff	103	2.2	30	0.6	106	0.5	239	8.0	
None	94	2.0	2,427	51.7	190	1.0	2,711	9.4	
Unknown	52	1.1	187	4.0	113	0.6	352	1.2	
Total	4,596	100.0%	4,694	100.0%	19,688	100.0%	28,978	100.0%	

#### Indiana Family And Social Services Administration State Fiscal Year 2000


### Relationship Of Perpetrator To Child <u>Abuse</u> Victim (For Substantiated Children)

#### **Percent Of Total Children**




### Relationship Of Perpetrator To Child <u>Neglect</u> Victim (For Substantiated Children)


#### **Percent Of Total Children**



Institutional Child Abuse and Neglect Reports
State Fiscal Years 1994 - 2000



#### Institutional Child Abuse Reports (Substantiated Cases) State Fiscal Years 1994 - 2000



<sup>\*</sup>The status "Indicated" was discontinued in fiscal year 1995.

### Institutional Child Abuse and Neglect by Type of Facility State Fiscal Year 2000

	To:	tal gations		bstantia estigatio	Unsubstantiated Investigations			
Facility	Abuse	Neglect	Physical Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Neglect	Abuse	Neglect	
Child Care Center	24	34	8	7	27	9	7	
Child Care Home	44	41	20	9	28	15	13	
Foster Family Home	80	22	24	28	14	28	8	
Registered Child Care Ministry	5	9	3	0	5	2	4	
Residential Facility	149	14	36	83	11	30	3	
School	79	9	20	27	6	32	3	
State Institution	25	3	11	7	3	7	0	
Hospital	31	1	7	17	1	7	0	
Other	12	3	3	5	2	4	1	
Total	449	136	132	183	97	134	39	

### Type of Institutional Child Abuse and Neglect by Race\* State Fiscal Year 2000

For Substantiated Investigations\*\*

							Ra	ace						
					Inc	lian/	Pa	cific						
Type of Abuse/Neglect	W	hite	ВІ	ack	Alaska	n Native	Isla	nder	Mult	iracial	Unav	ailable/	Total	
	All	# Hisp.	All	# Hisp.	All	# Hisp.	All	# Hisp.	All	# Hisp.	All	# Hisp.	All	# Hisp.
Sexual Abuse														
Child Molesting	173	2	43	0	4	1	0	0	2	0	11	1	233	4
Child Seduction	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1
Criminal Deviate Conduct	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Rape	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	13	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	21	0
Physical Abuse														
Asphyxiation/Suffocation	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Bone Fracture	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	0
Bruises/Cuts/Welts	60	1	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	104	1
Burns/Scalds	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1
Inappropriate Discipline	53	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	96	0
Shaking/Dislocation/Sprains	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Skull Fracture/Brain Damage	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Wounds/Punctures/Bites	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
<u>Neglect</u>														
Abandonment	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Close Confinement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
Environment Life/Health Endangering	55	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	69	2
Lack of Food, Shelter, Clothing	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Lack of Supervision	108	5	32	2	3	2	0	0	1	0	7	0	151	9
Lock In/Out	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	7	0
Medical Neglect	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Poor Hygiene	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0

<sup>\*</sup> Data reflects multiple types of abuse/neglect for some children.

Note: Hisp. = Hispanic.

Note: The federal definition of race is used with Hispanic separated as an ethnic origin for all races.

<sup>\*\*</sup> For 384 substantiated abused children and 146 substantiated neglected children.

#### Type of Institutional Child Abuse and Neglect by Age and Sex\* State Fiscal Year 2000

For Substantiated Investigations\*\*

			ge Grou				Sex		Total
Type of Abuse/Neglect	0 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 12	13 +	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown	
Sexual Abuse									
Child Molesting	7	26	127	61	12	146	87	0	233
Child Seduction	0	0	0	7	0	3	4	0	7
Criminal Deviate Conduct	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	0	4
Rape	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	3
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	0	0	0	18	3	8	13	0	21
Physical Abuse									
Asphyxiation/Suffocation	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Bone Fracture	2	0	5	3	0	8	2	0	10
Bruises/Cuts/Welts	16	11	34	39	4	73	30	1	104
Burns/Scalds	1	0	2	3	0	6	0	0	6
Inappropriate Discipline	11	12	42	27	4	65	30	1	96
Shaking/Dislocation/Sprains	1	0	1	1	1	3	1	0	4
Skull Fracture/Brain Damage	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2
Wounds/Punctures/Bites	3	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	4
<u>Neglect</u>									
Abandonment	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Close Confinement	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2
Environment Life/Health Endangering	31	8	10	11	9	36	31	2	69
Lack of Food,Shelter,Clothing	2	1	2	0	0	2	3	0	5
Lack of Supervision	51	40	22	20	18	91	57	3	151
Lock In/Out	4	0	0	2	1	5	2	0	7
Medical Neglect	2	0	0	0	3	1	4	0	5
Poor Hygiene	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3

<sup>\*</sup> Data reflects multiple types of abuse/neglect for some children.

Note: Hisp. = Hispanic.

Note: The federal definition of race is used with Hispanic separated as an ethnic origin for all races.

<sup>\*\*</sup> For 384 substantiated abused children and 146 substantiated neglected children.

# Institutional Sexual Child Abuse Reports Relationship of Perpetrator to Child Victim State Fiscal Year 2000 (Substantiated Cases)

		Perpetrator	
Child's Facility	Facility Staff	Other Resident	Total
Foster Family Home	0	5	5
School	17	6	23
Licensed Child Care Center	7	4	11
Unlicensed/Exempt Child Care Center	6	8	14
Residential Facility	51	81	132
State Institution	1	5	6
Hospital	11	16	27
Other	23	13	36
Total	116	138	254

#### Indiana Family and Social Services Administration Children Free for Adoption by Age, Sex, Race and Type of Placement State Fiscal Year 2000

	In Non-finalized Adoptive Placement																		
									Age	and S	Sex								
	Under	1 Year	1 - 2	Years	3 - 5	Years	6 - 8	6 - 8 Years		9 - 11 Years		12 - 14 Years		15 - 17 Years		18 - 20 Years		Unknown	
Race	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
All (includes Hispanic	<u>:)</u>																		
White	0	0	7	5	21	18	19	23	12	12	14	11	5	9	0	1	0	1	158
Black	0	0	6	7	5	16	12	10	6	3	4	7	3	0	1	1	1	0	82
American Indian/																			0
Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Multiracial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unavailable	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total	0	0	13	12	26	35	31	33	20	17	20	18	8	9	1	2	1	1	247
Hispanic																			
White	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Black	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
American Indian/																			0
Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Multiracial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unavailable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

	Not In Adoptive Placement																		
									Age	and S	Sex								
	Under	1 Year	1 - 2	Years	3 - 5	Years	6 - 8	Years	9 - 11 Years 12 - 14 Years			s 15 - 17 Years 18 - 20 Years				Unknown			
Race	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
All (includes Hispanio	:)																		
White	5	0	21	22	56	81	90	71	100	109	101	76	44	74	17	5	4	4	880
Black	0	0	12	33	77	49	68	59	66	55	54	44	25	34	2	3	0	1	582
American Indian/																			
Alaskan Native	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	5
Multiracial	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
Unavailable	0	1	2	3	7	6	21	17	26	17	22	18	17	9	1	2	9	13	191
Total	5	1	37	59	141	137	180	148	193	182	180	140	87	119	20	11	13	18	1671
<u>Hispanic</u>																			
White	1	0	0	0	2	4	1	3	5	4	4	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	30
Black	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
American Indian/																			0
Alaskan Native	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Multiracial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Unavailable	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Total	1	0	1	1	3	5	4	3	8	5	6	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	44
Grand Total	5	1	50	71	167	172	211	181	213	199	200	158	95	128	21	13	14	19	1918